



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Alpha-invariants and purely log terminal blow-ups

Citation for published version:

Cheltsov, I, Park, J & Shramov, C 2018, 'Alpha-invariants and purely log terminal blow-ups', *European Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 845-858. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40879-018-0237-x>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[/10.1007/s40879-018-0237-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40879-018-0237-x)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:

European Journal of Mathematics

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Alpha-invariants and purely log terminal blow-ups

Ivan Cheltsov^{1,2} · Jihun Park^{3,4} ·
Constantin Shramov^{2,5}

Received: 17 September 2017 / Revised: 16 March 2018 / Accepted: 22 March 2018
© The Author(s) 2018

Abstract We prove that the sum of the α -invariants of two different Kollár components of a Kawamata log terminal singularity is less than 1.

This work was initiated in New York in August 2017 when the authors attended the Conference on Birational Geometry at the Simons Foundation. We would like to thank the Simons Foundation for its hospitality. The paper was written while the first author was visiting the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics. He would like to thank the institute for the excellent working condition. The second author was supported by IBS-R003-D1, Institute for Basic Science in Korea. The third author was supported by the Russian Academic Excellence Project “5-100”, by RFBR grants 15-01-02164 and 15-01-02158, by the Program of the Presidium of the Russian Academy of Sciences No.01 “Fundamental Mathematics and its Applications” under grant PRAS-18-01, and by Young Russian Mathematics award.

✉ Ivan Cheltsov
I.Cheltsov@ed.ac.uk

Jihun Park
wlog@postech.ac.kr

Constantin Shramov
costya.shramov@gmail.com

- ¹ School of Mathematics, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, UK
- ² Laboratory of Algebraic Geometry, National Research University Higher School of Economics, Usacheva Street 6, Moscow, Russia 117312
- ³ Institute for Basic Science, Center for Geometry and Physics, 77 Cheongam-ro, Nam-gu, Pohang, Gyeongbuk 37673, Republic of Korea
- ⁴ Department of Mathematics, POSTECH, 77 Cheongam-ro, Nam-gu, Pohang, Gyeongbuk 37673, Republic of Korea
- ⁵ Steklov Mathematical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences, Gubkina Street 8, Moscow, Russia 119991

Keywords Alpha-invariant of Tian · Log Fano variety · Purely log terminal blow-up · Log terminal singularity

Mathematics Subject Classification 14E05 · 14J45 · 32Q26

We assume that all varieties are defined over the field \mathbb{C} . Let V be a normal irreducible projective variety of dimension $n \geq 1$, and let Δ_V be an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor on V . Write

$$\Delta_V = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \Delta_i,$$

where each Δ_i is a prime divisor, and each a_i is a positive rational number. Suppose that the log pair (V, Δ_V) has at most Kawamata log terminal singularities. Then, in particular, each a_i is less than 1. Suppose also that the divisor $-(K_V + \Delta_V)$ is ample, so that (V, Δ_V) is a log Fano variety. Finally, suppose that V is faithfully acted on by a finite group G such that the divisor Δ_V is G -invariant. Let $\alpha_G(V, \Delta_V)$ be the real number defined by

$$\sup \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{the pair } (V, \Delta_V + \lambda D_V) \text{ has Kawamata log terminal singularities} \\ \text{for every } G\text{-invariant and effective } \mathbb{Q}\text{-divisor } D_V \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -(K_V + \Delta_V) \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

This number is known as the α -invariant of the log Fano variety (V, Δ_V) , or its global log canonical threshold (see [12, Definition 3.1]). If G is trivial, we put $\alpha(V, \Delta_V) = \alpha_G(V, \Delta_V)$.

Example 1 The divisor $-(K_{\mathbb{P}^1} + \Delta_{\mathbb{P}^1})$ is ample if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i < 2$. One has

$$\alpha(\mathbb{P}^1, \Delta_{\mathbb{P}^1}) = \frac{1 - \max(a_1, \dots, a_r)}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^r a_i}.$$

We put $\alpha_G(V) = \alpha_G(V, \Delta_V)$ if $\Delta_V = 0$.

Example 2 A finite group G acting faithfully on \mathbb{P}^1 is one of the following finite groups: the alternating group \mathfrak{A}_5 , the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_4 , the alternating group \mathfrak{A}_4 , the dihedral group \mathfrak{D}_{2m} of order $2m$, or the cyclic group μ_m of order m (including the case $m = 1$, that is, the trivial group). The number $\alpha_G(\mathbb{P}^1)/2$ is equal to the length of the smallest G -orbit in \mathbb{P}^1 , which gives

$$\alpha_G(\mathbb{P}^1) = \begin{cases} 6 & \text{if } G \cong \mathfrak{A}_5, \\ 3 & \text{if } G \cong \mathfrak{S}_4, \\ 2 & \text{if } G \cong \mathfrak{A}_4, \\ 1 & \text{if } G \cong \mathfrak{D}_{2m}, \\ 1/2 & \text{if } G \cong \mu_m. \end{cases}$$

If both $\Delta_V = 0$ and G is trivial, we put $\alpha(V) = \alpha_G(V, \Delta_V)$. This is the most classical case. Namely, if V is a smooth Fano variety, then by [11, Theorem A.3] the number $\alpha(V)$ coincides with the α -invariant of V defined by Tian in [45]. Its values were found or estimated in many cases. For example, in the toric case the explicit formula for $\alpha(V)$ is given by Cheltsov and Shramov in [11, Lemma 5.1]. It gives $\alpha(\mathbb{P}^n) = 1/(n+1)$, which can also be verified by an easy explicit computation.

The α -invariants of all del Pezzo surfaces with at worst Du Val singularities were computed in [2, 4, 7, 37, 38, 43]. Furthermore, the α -invariants of many non-Gorenstein singular del Pezzo surfaces that are quasi-smooth well-formed complete intersections in weighted projective spaces were computed in [9, 15, 24]. The α -invariants of many smooth and singular Fano threefolds were computed or estimated in [3, 5, 6, 11, 23, 25]. The α -invariants of smooth Fano hypersurfaces were estimated in [1, 8, 10, 40].

The α -invariant plays an important role in Kähler geometry. If V is a smooth Fano variety, then V admits a G -invariant Kähler–Einstein metric provided that

$$\alpha_G(V) > \frac{\dim(V)}{\dim(V) + 1}.$$

This was proved by Tian in [45]. In [21], this result was improved by Fujita. He proved that V admits a Kähler–Einstein metric if it is smooth and

$$\alpha(V) \geq \frac{\dim(V)}{\dim(V) + 1}.$$

In particular, all smooth hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^d of degree d are Kähler–Einstein, because their α -invariants are at least $(d-1)/d$ by [1, 8].

The K-stability of the log Fano variety (V, Δ_V) crucially depends on $\alpha(V, \Delta_V)$. For instance, if

$$\alpha(V, \Delta_V) < \frac{1}{\dim(V) + 1},$$

then the log Fano variety (V, Δ_V) is K-unstable by [22, Theorem 3.5] and [19, Lemma 5.5]. This bound is sharp, since \mathbb{P}^n is K-semistable and $\alpha(\mathbb{P}^n) = 1/(n+1)$. Vice versa, if $\alpha(V, \Delta_V) \geq \dim(V)/(\dim(V) + 1)$, then the log Fano variety (V, Δ_V) is K-semistable by [34, Theorem 1.4] and [20, Proposition 2.1].

The α -invariant also plays an important role in birational geometry. It was first observed by Park in [35], where he proved a theorem that evolved into the following:

Theorem 3 ([4, Theorem 1.5]) *Let X be a variety with at most terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial singularities. Suppose that there is a proper morphism $\phi: X \rightarrow Z$ such that Z is a smooth curve, and $-K_X$ is ϕ -ample. Let P be a point in Z , and let E_X be the scheme fiber of ϕ over P . Suppose that E_X is irreducible, reduced, normal, and has at most Kawamata log terminal singularities, so that E_X is a Fano variety by the adjunction formula. Suppose also that there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \overset{\rho}{\dashrightarrow} & Y \\ & \searrow \phi \quad \swarrow \psi & \\ & Z & \end{array}$$

such that Y is a variety with at most terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial singularities, ψ is a proper morphism, the divisor $-K_Y$ is ψ -ample, and ρ is a birational map that induces an isomorphism

$$X \setminus \text{Supp}(E_X) \cong Y \setminus \text{Supp}(E_Y),$$

where E_Y is the scheme fiber of ψ over P . Suppose, in addition, that E_Y is irreducible. Then ρ is an isomorphism provided that $\alpha(E_X) \geq 1$. Moreover, if E_Y is reduced, normal and has at most Kawamata log terminal singularities, then ρ is an isomorphism provided that $\alpha(E_X) + \alpha(E_Y) > 1$.

Theorem 3 gives a necessary condition in terms of α -invariants for the existence of a non-biregular fiberwise birational transformation of a Mori fibre space over a curve. It follows from [29, Theorem 1.1] that this condition is not a sufficient condition. Nevertheless, the bound is sharp (one can find many examples in [35, 36]).

Example 4 Let S be a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a curve. Then we have an elementary transformation to another \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over the same curve. Note that the $\alpha(\mathbb{P}^1) = 1/2$ by Example 2.

Example 5 ([18, Example 5.8]) Let S be a smooth cubic surface in \mathbb{P}^3 with an Eckardt point O . Denote by L_1, L_2, L_3 the lines in S passing through O . Put $X = S \times \mathbb{A}^1$, and let ϕ be the natural projection $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$. Let us identify S with a fiber of ϕ . Then there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & U & \overset{\psi}{\dashrightarrow} & \overline{U} & \\ \alpha \swarrow & & & & \searrow \beta \\ X & \overset{\rho}{\dashrightarrow} & & Y & \\ \phi \searrow & & & & \swarrow \psi \\ & \mathbb{A}^1 & & & \end{array}$$

where α is the blow-up of the point O , the map ψ is the anti-flip along the proper transforms of the curves L_1, L_2, L_3 , and β is the contraction of the proper transform of the surface S . The scheme fiber of ψ over the point $\phi(S)$ is a cubic surface in \mathbb{P}^3 that has one singular point of type \mathbb{D}_4 . Its α -invariant is $1/3$ (see [4, Theorem 1.4]). On the other hand, we have $\alpha(S) = 2/3$ (see [2, Theorem 1.7]).

Example 6 ([35, Example 5.3]) Let X and Y be subvarieties in $\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3$ given by equations

$$x^3 + y^2z + z^2w + t^{12}w^3 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x^3 + y^2z + z^2w + w^3 = 0,$$

respectively, where t is a coordinate on \mathbb{A}^1 , and $(x:y:z:w)$ are homogeneous coordinates on \mathbb{P}^3 . Then the projections $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ and $\psi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ are fibrations into cubic surfaces, and the map

$$(t, x, y, z, w) \mapsto (t, t^2x, t^3y, z, t^6w)$$

gives a non-biregular birational fiberwise map $\rho: X \dashrightarrow Y$. The fiber of ϕ over the point $t = 0$ is a cubic surface that has one Du Val singular point of type \mathbb{E}_6 , so that its α -invariant is $1/6$ (see [4, Theorem 1.4]), and the fiber of ψ over the point $t = 0$ is a smooth cubic surface with an Eckardt point, so that its α -invariant is $2/3$ (see [2, Theorem 1.7]).

The α -invariant also plays an important role in singularity theory. Let $U \ni P$ be a germ of a Kawamata log terminal singularity. Then it follows from [47, Lemma 1] (cf. [39, Proposition 2.12]) that there is a birational morphism $\phi: X \rightarrow U$ such that its exceptional locus consists of a single prime divisor E_X such that $\phi(E_X) = P$, the log pair (X, E_X) has purely log terminal singularities, and the divisor $-(K_X + E_X)$ is ϕ -ample. Then

$$-(K_X + E_X) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -\delta_X E_X$$

for some positive rational number δ_X . Recall from [39, Definition 2.1] that the birational morphism $\phi: X \rightarrow U$ is a *purely log terminal blow-up* of the singularity $U \ni P$.

By [27, Theorem 7.5], the divisor E_X is a normal variety that has rational singularities. Moreover, it can be naturally equipped with a structure of a log Fano variety. Let R_1, \dots, R_s be all the irreducible components of the locus $\text{Sing}(X)$ of codimension 2 that are contained in E_X . Put

$$\text{Diff}_{E_X}(0) = \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{m_i - 1}{m_i} R_i,$$

where m_i is the smallest positive integer such that the divisor $m_i E_X$ is Cartier in a general point of R_i . Then $\text{Diff}_{E_X}(0)$ is usually called the *different* of the pair (X, E_X) . One has

$$-\delta_X E_X|_{E_X} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -(K_X + E_X)|_{E_X} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -(K_{E_X} + \text{Diff}_{E_X}(0)).$$

Furthermore, the singularities of the log pair $(E_X, \text{Diff}_{E_X}(0))$ are Kawamata log terminal by Adjunction (see [44, 3.2] or [26, 17.6]). This means that $(E_X, \text{Diff}_{E_X}(0))$ is a log Fano variety with Kawamata log terminal singularities, because $-E_X$ is ϕ -ample.

Definition 7 (cf. [31, Definition 1.1]) The log Fano variety $(E_X, \text{Diff}_{E_X}(0))$ is a *Kollár component* of $U \ni P$.

Let us show how to compute $\alpha(E_X, \text{Diff}_{E_X}(0))$ in three simple cases.

Example 8 (cf. [39, Example 2.4]) Let $U \ni P$ be a germ of a Du Val singularity, and $f: W \rightarrow U$ be the minimal resolution of this singularity. Then the exceptional curves of f are smooth rational curves whose self-intersections are -2 , and their dual graph is of type $\mathbb{A}_m, \mathbb{D}_m, \mathbb{E}_6, \mathbb{E}_7$, or \mathbb{E}_8 . Let E_W be one of the exceptional curves that is chosen as follows. If $U \ni P$ is not a singularity of type \mathbb{A}_m , let E_W be the only f -exceptional curve that intersects three other f -exceptional curves, i.e., E_W is the “fork” of the dual graph. If $U \ni P$ is a singularity of type \mathbb{A}_m , choose E_W to be the k -th curve in the dual graph. In this case, we may assume that $k \leq (m+1)/2$. In all the cases, there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{h} & Y \\ & \searrow f \quad \swarrow g & \\ & U & \end{array}$$

where h is the contraction of all f -exceptional curves except E_W , and g is the contraction of the proper transform of E_W on the surface Y . Denote the g -exceptional curve by E_Y . Then Y has at most Du Val singularities of type \mathbb{A} , the curve E_Y is smooth, and it contains all the singular points of the surface Y , if any. One can check that the log pair (Y, E_Y) has purely log terminal singularities (see [28, Theorem 4.15 (3)]). Also, the divisor $-(K_Y + E_Y)$ is g -ample. Thus, the curve E_Y is a Kollár component of the singularity $U \ni P$. Moreover,

$$\text{Diff}_{E_Y}(0) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{in the case of } \mathbb{A}_1, \\ \frac{m-1}{m} P_{m-1} & \text{in the case of } \mathbb{A}_m \text{ and } k=1, \\ \frac{k-1}{k} P_{k-1} + \frac{m-k}{m-k+1} Q_{m-k} & \text{in the case of } \mathbb{A}_m \\ & \text{and } 2 \leq k \leq (m+1)/2, \\ \frac{1}{2} P_1 + \frac{1}{2} Q_1 + \frac{m-3}{m-2} R_{m-3} & \text{in the case of } \mathbb{D}_m, \\ \frac{1}{2} P_1 + \frac{2}{3} Q_2 + \frac{m-4}{m-3} R_{m-4} & \text{in the case of } \mathbb{E}_m, \end{cases}$$

where P_i, Q_j , and R_ℓ are singular points of Y that lie on E_Y . The singular point P_i (resp. Q_j and R_ℓ) is a Du Val singular point of type \mathbb{A}_i (resp. \mathbb{A}_j and \mathbb{A}_ℓ). Since $E_Y \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, it follows from Example 1 that

$$\alpha(E_Y, \text{Diff}_{E_Y}(0)) = \begin{cases} \frac{k}{m+1} \leq \frac{1}{2} & \text{in the case of } \mathbb{A}_m, \\ 1 & \text{in the case of } \mathbb{D}_m, \\ 2 & \text{in the case of } \mathbb{E}_6, \\ 3 & \text{in the case of } \mathbb{E}_7, \\ 6 & \text{in the case of } \mathbb{E}_8. \end{cases}$$

Example 9 Let $U \ni P$ be a germ of a Du Val singularity of type \mathbb{A}_m , and let $f: W \rightarrow U$ be the minimal resolution of this singularity.

Let Q be a point on one of the f -exceptional curves. We consider two cases, one is the case where the point Q belongs to one of the two exceptional curves that correspond to “tails” of the dual graph but it is not contained in any other exceptional curve, the other is the case where Q is the intersection point of the k -th and $(k+1)$ -th f -exceptional curves, $1 \leq k \leq m/2$.

Let $\xi: \widehat{W} \rightarrow W$ be the blow-up at Q , and ζ be the contraction of the proper transforms of all the f -exceptional curves. Thus, there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{W} & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & Y \\ & \searrow f \circ \xi & \swarrow g \\ & U & \end{array}$$

Denote the g -exceptional curve by E_Y . It is a smooth rational curve. The dual graphs of the exceptional curves of the minimal resolution of singularities $\zeta: \widehat{W} \rightarrow Y$ are chains such that the self-intersection numbers of the exceptional curves are $-3, -2, \dots, -2$, and the proper transform of E_Y intersects only the “tail” components of these chains. In the former case, Y has a unique singular point O , which is a quotient of \mathbb{C}^2 by the cyclic group μ_{2m+1} . In the latter case, it contains two singular points P_1 and P_2 , which are quotients of \mathbb{C}^2 by the cyclic groups μ_{2k+1} and $\mu_{2(m-k)+1}$, respectively.

By [28, Theorem 4.15 (3)] the log pair (Y, E_Y) has purely log terminal singularities. Also, the divisor $-(K_Y + E_Y)$ is g -ample. Thus, the curve E_Y is a Kollár component of the singularity $U \ni P$. Moreover,

$$\text{Diff}_{E_Y}(0) = \begin{cases} \frac{2m}{2m+1} O & \text{in the former case,} \\ \frac{2k}{2k+1} P_1 + \frac{2(m-k)}{2(m-k)+1} P_2 & \text{in the latter case.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\alpha(E_Y, \text{Diff}_{E_Y}(0)) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2m+2} < \frac{1}{2} & \text{in the former case,} \\ \frac{2k+1}{2m+2} \leq \frac{1}{2} & \text{in the latter case.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, in the latter case we see that $\alpha(E_Y, \text{Diff}_{E_Y}(0)) = 1/2$ if and only if m is even, and Q is the “central point” of the configuration of the f -exceptional curves.

It is easy to see from [28, Theorem 4.15] that if $U \ni P$ is a Du Val singularity of type \mathbb{D} or \mathbb{E} , and the exceptional curve E_W in Example 8 is not the one corresponding to the “fork” of the dual graph, then the curve E_Y is not a Kollár component (see [39, Example 4.7]). We will see later that in these cases the singularity $U \ni P$ has a unique Kollár component, which is described in Example 8. This is not true in general,

i.e., a Kollár component of a singularity $U \ni P$ may not be unique, as one can see from Examples 8 and 9. Nevertheless, Li and Xu established in [31, Theorem B] the following:

Theorem 10 *A K-semistable Kollár component of $U \ni P$ is unique if it exists.*

The K-semistable Kollár components of two-dimensional Du Val singularities are described in our Examples 8 and 9. They are precisely the Kollár components whose α -invariants are at least $1/2$ (cf. [32, Example 4.7]).

Note that Du Val singularities are two-dimensional rational quasi-homogeneous isolated hypersurface singularities. The K-semistable Kollár components of many three-dimensional rational quasi-homogeneous isolated hypersurface singularities have been described in [9, 15]. Similarly, the K-semistable Kollár components of many four-dimensional rational quasi-homogeneous isolated hypersurface singularities have been described in [23].

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following analogue of Theorem 3.

Theorem 11 *Let $U \ni P$ be a germ of a Kawamata log terminal singularity. Suppose that there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \overset{\rho}{\dashrightarrow} & Y \\ & \searrow \phi \quad \swarrow \psi & \\ & U & \end{array}$$

where $\phi: (X \supset E_X) \rightarrow (U \ni P)$ and $\psi: (Y \supset E_Y) \rightarrow (U \ni P)$ are purely log terminal blow-ups of the germ $U \ni P$. If

$$\alpha(E_X, \text{Diff}_{E_X}(0)) + \alpha(E_Y, \text{Diff}_{E_Y}(0)) \geq 1,$$

then ρ is an isomorphism.

Before proving this result, let us consider its applications. Suppose that

$$\alpha(E_X, \text{Diff}_{E_X}(0)) \geq \frac{\dim(U) - 1}{\dim(U)}. \quad (1)$$

By Theorem 11, this inequality implies that the α -invariant of another Kollár component of the singularity $U \ni P$, if any, must be less than $1/\dim(U)$, so that it should be K-unstable. Of course, this also follows from Theorem 10, because inequality (1) implies that the log Fano variety $(E_X, \text{Diff}_{E_X}(0))$ is K-semistable.

Theorem 11 also implies

Corollary 12 *If $\alpha(E_X, \text{Diff}_{E_X}(0)) \geq 1$, then the Kollár component of $U \ni P$ is unique.*

This corollary is well known: it follows from [39, Theorem 4.3] and [30, Theorem 2.1]. Recall from [39, Definition 4.1] that the singularity $U \ni P$ is said to be *weakly exceptional* if it has a unique purely log terminal blow-up. This is equivalent to the condition that there is a Kollár component E_X of $U \ni P$ such that $\alpha(E_X, \text{Diff}_{E_X}(0)) \geq 1$ (see [39, Theorem 4.3], [30, Theorem 2.1], and [12]). It follows from Example 8 that Du Val singularities of types \mathbb{D} and \mathbb{E} are weakly exceptional. On the other hand, Du Val singularities of type \mathbb{A} are not weakly exceptional, since each of them admits several Kollár components (see Examples 8 and 9), and thus has several purely log terminal blow ups.

Remark 13 Du Val singularities are special examples of two-dimensional quotient singularities. Note that quotient singularities are always Kawamata log terminal. For each of them, it is easy to describe one Kollár component. Let \widehat{G} be a finite subgroup in $\text{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose that $U \ni P$ is a quotient singularity $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}/\widehat{G}$. By the Chevalley–Shephard–Todd theorem, we may assume that the group \widehat{G} does not contain any quasi-reflections (cf. [13, Remark 1.16]). Let $\eta: \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow U$ be the quotient map. Then there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{\omega} & Y \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \\ \mathbb{C}^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\eta} & U \end{array}$$

where π is the blow-up at the origin, the morphism ω is the quotient map that is induced by the action of \widehat{G} lifted to the variety W , and ψ is a birational morphism. Denote by \widetilde{E} the exceptional divisor of π , and denote by E_Y the exceptional divisor of ψ . Then $\widetilde{E} \cong \mathbb{P}^n$, and E_Y is naturally isomorphic to the quotient \mathbb{P}^n/G , where G is the image of the group \widehat{G} in $\text{PGL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$. Moreover, the log pair (Y, E_Y) has purely log terminal singularities, and the divisor $-(K_Y + E_Y)$ is ψ -ample. Thus, the log Fano variety $(E_Y, \text{Diff}_{E_Y}(0))$ is a Kollár component of the singularity $U \ni P$. Also, it follows from [31, Example 7.1 (1)] and [31, Theorem 1.2] that $(E_Y, \text{Diff}_{E_Y}(0))$ is K-semistable. Furthermore, one has

$$\alpha(E_Y, \text{Diff}_{E_Y}(0)) = \alpha_G(\mathbb{P}^n)$$

(see [12, Proof of Theorem 3.16]). Thus, if $\alpha_G(\mathbb{P}^n) \geq 1$, then this Kollár component is unique by Corollary 12. One can find many subgroups $G \subset \text{PGL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ with $\alpha_G(\mathbb{P}^n) \geq 1$ in [12–14, 16, 33, 41, 42]. Note also that one always has $\alpha_G(\mathbb{P}^n) \leq 1184036$ by [46].

In the remaining part of the paper, we prove Theorem 11. Let us use its assumptions and notations. We have to show that ρ is an isomorphism. Suppose that this is not the case. Let us seek for a contradiction.

We may assume that U is affine. There exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & W & \\
 f \swarrow & & \searrow g \\
 X & \overset{\rho}{\dashrightarrow} & Y \\
 \phi \searrow & & \swarrow \psi \\
 & U &
 \end{array}$$

such that W is a smooth variety, and f and g are birational morphisms. Denote by E_X^W and E_Y^W the proper transforms of the divisors E_X and E_Y on the variety W , respectively. Then E_X^W is g -exceptional, and E_Y^W is f -exceptional. We may assume that E_X^W , E_Y^W and the remaining exceptional divisors of f and g form a divisor with simple normal crossings.

Observe that $E_X^W \neq E_Y^W$. Indeed, if $E_X^W = E_Y^W$, then ρ is small, which is impossible, because $-E_X$ is ϕ -ample, and $-E_Y$ is ψ -ample (see [17, Proposition 2.7]). Let F_1, \dots, F_m be the prime divisors on W that are contracted by both f and g . Then

$$K_W + E_X^W + aE_Y^W + \sum_{i=1}^m a_i F_i \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*(K_X + E_X)$$

for some rational numbers a, a_1, \dots, a_m . Since the log pair (X, E_X) has purely log terminal singularities, all numbers a, a_1, \dots, a_m are strictly less than 1. Also, we have

$$E_X^W \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*(E_X) - bE_Y^W - \sum_{i=1}^m b_i F_i,$$

where b, b_1, \dots, b_m are non-negative rational numbers. Then $b > 0$, since $f(E_Y^W) \subset E_X$.

Fix an integer $n \gg 0$. Put $\mathcal{M}_X = |-nE_X|$. Then \mathcal{M}_X does not have any base points. Denote its proper transforms on Y and W by \mathcal{M}_X^Y and \mathcal{M}_X^W , respectively. Then

$$\mathcal{M}_X^W \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -f^*(nE_X) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -nE_X^W - nbE_Y^W - \sum_{i=1}^m nb_i F_i,$$

which implies that $\mathcal{M}_X^Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -nbE_Y$. On the other hand, we have $-(K_Y + E_Y) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -\delta_Y E_Y$ for some positive rational number δ_Y . Put $\epsilon_X = \delta_Y/(nb)$. Then $\epsilon_X \mathcal{M}_X^Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -(K_Y + E_Y)$, so that

$$K_W + E_Y^W + \epsilon_X \mathcal{M}_X^W + \alpha E_X^W + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i F_i \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g^*(K_Y + E_Y + \epsilon_X \mathcal{M}_X^Y) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$$

for some rational numbers $\alpha, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$. Similarly, let \mathcal{M}_Y be the base point free linear system $|-nE_Y|$. Denote by \mathcal{M}_Y^X and \mathcal{M}_Y^W its proper transforms on X and W , respectively. Then there is a positive rational number ϵ_Y such that $\epsilon_Y \mathcal{M}_Y^X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -(K_X + E_X)$, so that

$$K_W + E_X^W + \epsilon_Y \mathcal{M}_Y^W + \beta E_Y^W + \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i F_i \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*(K_X + E_X + \epsilon_Y \mathcal{M}_Y^X) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$$

for some rational numbers $\beta, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m$.

Lemma 14 *One has $\alpha > 1$ and $\beta > 1$. In particular, the singularities of the log pairs $(Y, E_Y + \epsilon_X \mathcal{M}_X^Y)$ and $(X, E_X + \epsilon_Y \mathcal{M}_Y^X)$ are not log canonical.*

Proof It is enough to show that $\alpha > 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} E_Y^W + \epsilon_X \mathcal{M}_X^W + \alpha E_X^W + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i F_i &\sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -K_W \\ &\sim_{\mathbb{Q}} E_X^W + a E_Y^W + \sum_{i=1}^m a_i F_i - f^*(K_X + E_X). \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\epsilon_X \mathcal{M}_X^W \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (1 - \alpha) E_X^W + (a - 1) E_Y^W + \sum_{i=1}^m (a_i - \alpha_i) F_i - f^*(K_X + E_X). \quad (2)$$

It implies that

$$\epsilon_X \mathcal{M}_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -(K_X + E_X) - (\alpha - 1) E_X.$$

Recall that $-(K_X + E_X) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -\delta_X E_X$. We then obtain

$$\epsilon_X \mathcal{M}_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -(K_X + E_X) - (\alpha - 1) E_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -t_X (K_X + E_X),$$

where $t_X = 1 + (\alpha - 1)/\delta_X$. On the other hand, from (2) we obtain

$$(1 - \alpha) E_X^W + \sum_{i=1}^m (a_i - \alpha_i) F_i \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (1 - a) E_Y^W + (1 - t_X) f^*(K_X + E_X).$$

Now we let

$$B = (1 - \alpha) E_X^W + \sum_{i=1}^m (a_i - \alpha_i) F_i + (a - 1) E_Y^W,$$

so that $-B$ is f -nef. Then B is effective if and only if $f_*(B) = (1 - \alpha)E_X$ is effective by Negativity Lemma (see [28, Lemma 3.39]). Since $\alpha < 1$, the divisor B is not effective, which implies that $\alpha > 1$. \square

As in the proof of Lemma 14, put $t_Y = 1 + (\beta - 1)/\delta_Y$. Then

$$\epsilon_Y \mathcal{M}_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -t_Y(K_Y + E_Y).$$

Now take any positive rational numbers λ and μ such that $\lambda + \mu \geq 1$. One has

$$K_X + E_X + \lambda \epsilon_Y \mathcal{M}_Y^X + \mu \epsilon_X \mathcal{M}_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -(\lambda + \mu t_X - 1)(K_X + E_X),$$

so that $K_X + E_X + \lambda \epsilon_Y \mathcal{M}_Y^X + \mu \epsilon_X \mathcal{M}_X$ is ϕ -ample. Similarly, we see that

$$K_Y + E_Y + \lambda \epsilon_Y \mathcal{M}_Y + \mu \epsilon_X \mathcal{M}_X^Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -(\lambda t_Y + \mu - 1)(K_Y + E_Y),$$

so that $K_Y + E_Y + \lambda \epsilon_Y \mathcal{M}_Y + \mu \epsilon_X \mathcal{M}_X^Y$ is ψ -ample.

Lemma 15 *At least one of the log pairs $(X, E_X + \lambda \epsilon_Y \mathcal{M}_Y^X)$ and $(Y, E_Y + \mu \epsilon_X \mathcal{M}_X^Y)$ is not log canonical.*

Proof Suppose that $(X, E_X + \lambda \epsilon_Y \mathcal{M}_Y^X)$ and $(Y, E_Y + \mu \epsilon_X \mathcal{M}_X^Y)$ are log canonical. Then the log pairs $(X, E_X + \lambda \epsilon_Y \mathcal{M}_Y^X + \mu \epsilon_X \mathcal{M}_X)$ and $(Y, E_Y + \lambda \epsilon_Y \mathcal{M}_Y + \mu \epsilon_X \mathcal{M}_X^Y)$ are also log canonical. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_W + E_X^W + \lambda \epsilon_Y \mathcal{M}_Y^W + \mu \epsilon_X \mathcal{M}_X^W + c E_Y^W + \sum_{i=1}^m c_i F_i \\ \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*(K_X + E_X + \lambda \epsilon_Y \mathcal{M}_Y^X + \mu \epsilon_X \mathcal{M}_X) \end{aligned}$$

for some rational numbers c, c_1, \dots, c_m that do not exceed 1. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_W + E_Y^W + \lambda \epsilon_Y \mathcal{M}_Y^W + \mu \epsilon_X \mathcal{M}_X^W + d E_X^W + \sum_{i=1}^m d_i F_i \\ \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g^*(K_Y + E_Y + \lambda \epsilon_Y \mathcal{M}_Y + \mu \epsilon_X \mathcal{M}_X^Y), \end{aligned}$$

where d, d_1, \dots, d_m are rational numbers that do not exceed 1. Denote by D_W the boundary $\lambda \epsilon_Y \mathcal{M}_Y^W + \mu \epsilon_X \mathcal{M}_X^W + E_X^W + E_Y^W + \sum_{i=1}^m F_i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} K_W + D_W \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*(K_X + E_X + \lambda \epsilon_Y \mathcal{M}_Y^X + \mu \epsilon_X \mathcal{M}_X) \\ + (1 - c) E_Y^W + \sum_{i=1}^m (1 - c_i) F_i \\ \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g^*(K_Y + E_Y + \lambda \epsilon_Y \mathcal{M}_Y + \mu \epsilon_X \mathcal{M}_X^Y) \\ + (1 - d) E_X^W + \sum_{i=1}^m (1 - d_i) F_i. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the log pair (W, D_W) is log canonical, since W is smooth, the linear systems \mathcal{M}_Y^W and \mathcal{M}_X^W are free from base points, and the divisors $E_X^W, E_Y^W, F_1, \dots, F_m$ form a simple normal crossing divisor. Since $K_X + E_X + \lambda\epsilon_Y\mathcal{M}_Y^X + \mu\epsilon_X\mathcal{M}_X^X$ is ϕ -ample, it follows from [28, Corollary 3.53] that the log pair $(X, E_X + \lambda\epsilon_Y\mathcal{M}_Y^X + \mu\epsilon_X\mathcal{M}_X^X)$ is the canonical model of the log pair (W, D_W) . Similarly, the log pair $(Y, E_Y + \lambda\epsilon_Y\mathcal{M}_Y^Y + \mu\epsilon_X\mathcal{M}_X^Y)$ is also the canonical model of the log pair (W, D_W) , because $K_Y + E_Y + \lambda\epsilon_Y\mathcal{M}_Y^Y + \mu\epsilon_X\mathcal{M}_X^Y$ is ψ -ample. Since the canonical model is unique by [28, Theorem 3.52], we see that ρ is an isomorphism. Since ρ is not an isomorphism by assumption, we obtain a contradiction. \square

Let $\lambda = \alpha(E_X, \text{Diff}_{E_X}(0))$ and $\mu = \alpha(E_Y, \text{Diff}_{E_Y}(0))$. We may assume that the log pair $(X, E_X + \lambda\epsilon_Y\mathcal{M}_Y^X)$ is not log canonical. Then $(E_X, \text{Diff}_{E_X}(0) + \lambda\epsilon_Y\mathcal{M}_Y^X|_{E_X})$ is not log canonical by Inversion of Adjunction, see [26, 17.6]. On the other hand, we have

$$\epsilon_Y\mathcal{M}_Y^X|_{E_X} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -(K_X + E_X)|_{E_X} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -(K_{E_X} + \text{Diff}_{E_X}(0)).$$

This is impossible by the definition of the α -invariant $\alpha(E_X, \text{Diff}_{E_X}(0))$.

Acknowledgements We are grateful to the referees for helpful comments on the earlier version of the paper.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

1. Cheltsov, I.A.: Log canonical thresholds on hypersurfaces. *Sb. Math.* **192**(8), 1241–1257 (2001)
2. Cheltsov, I.: Log canonical thresholds of del Pezzo surfaces. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **18**(4), 1118–1144 (2008)
3. Cheltsov, I.: Fano varieties with many selfmaps. *Adv. Math.* **217**(1), 97–124 (2008)
4. Cheltsov, I.: On singular cubic surfaces. *Asian J. Math.* **13**(2), 191–214 (2009)
5. Cheltsov, I.A.: Log canonical thresholds of three-dimensional Fano hypersurfaces. *Izv. Math.* **73**(4), 727–795 (2009)
6. Cheltsov, I.A.: Extremal metrics on two Fano varieties. *Sb. Math.* **200**(1), 95–132 (2009)
7. Cheltsov, I., Kosta, D.: Computing α -invariants of singular del Pezzo surfaces. *J. Geom. Anal.* **24**(2), 798–842 (2014)
8. Cheltsov, I.A., Park, J.: Total log canonical thresholds and generalized Eckardt points. *Sb. Math.* **193**(5), 779–789 (2002)
9. Cheltsov, I., Park, J., Shramov, C.: Exceptional del Pezzo hypersurfaces. *J. Geom. Anal.* **20**(4), 787–816 (2010)
10. Cheltsov, I., Park, J., Won, J.: Log canonical thresholds of certain Fano hypersurfaces. *Math. Z.* **276**(1–2), 51–79 (2014)
11. Cheltsov, I.A., Shramov, C.A.: Log canonical thresholds of smooth Fano threefolds. With an appendix by J.-P. Demailly. *Russian Math. Surveys* **63**(5), 73–180 (2008)
12. Cheltsov, I., Shramov, C.: On exceptional quotient singularities. *Geom. Topol.* **15**(4), 1843–1882 (2011)
13. Cheltsov, I., Shramov, C.: Six-dimensional exceptional quotient singularities. *Math. Res. Lett.* **18**(6), 1121–1139 (2011)
14. Cheltsov, I.A., Shramov, C.A.: Sporadic simple groups and quotient singularities. *Izv. Math.* **77**(4), 846–854 (2013)

15. Cheltsov, I., Shramov, C.: Del Pezzo zoo. *Exp. Math.* **22**(3), 313–326 (2013)
16. Cheltsov, I., Shramov, C.: Weakly-exceptional singularities in higher dimensions. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **689**, 201–241 (2014)
17. Corti, A.: Factoring birational maps of threefolds after Sarkisov. *J. Algebraic Geom.* **4**(2), 223–254 (1995)
18. Corti, A.: Del Pezzo surfaces over Dedekind schemes. *Ann. Math.* **144**(3), 641–683 (1996)
19. Fujita, K.: Openness results for uniform K-stability (2017). [arXiv:1709.08209](https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.08209)
20. Fujita, K.: Uniform K-stability and plt blowups of log Fano pairs (2017). [arXiv:1701.00203](https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.00203)
21. Fujita, K.: K-stability of Fano manifolds with not small alpha invariants. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1474748017000111>
22. Fujita, K., Odaka, Y.: On the K-stability of Fano varieties and anticanonical divisors (2016). [arXiv:1602.01305](https://arxiv.org/abs/1602.01305) (to appear in *Tohoku Math. J.*)
23. Johnson, J., Kollár, J.: Fano hypersurfaces in weighted projective 4-spaces. *Exp. Math.* **10**(1), 151–158 (2001)
24. Kim, I.-K., Park, J.: Log canonical thresholds of complete intersection log del Pezzo surfaces. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **58**(2), 445–483 (2015)
25. Kim, I.-K., Okada, T., Won, J.: Alpha invariants of birationally rigid Fano three-folds. *Math. Res. Not. IMRN Int.* <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnw310>
26. Kollár, J.: Singularities of pairs. In: Kollár, J., Lazarsfeld, R., Morrison, D.R. (eds.) *Algebraic Geometry. Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, vol. 62.1, pp. 221–287. American Mathematical Society, Providence (1997)
27. Kollár, J., Abramovich, D. (eds.): *Flips and Abundance for Algebraic Threefolds*. *Astérisque*, vol. 211. Société Mathématique de France, Paris (1992)
28. Kollár, J., Mori, S.: *Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 134. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1998)
29. Krylov, I.: Rationally connected non-Fano type varieties. *Eur. J. Math.* **4**(1), 335–355 (2018)
30. Kudryavtsev, S.A.: Pure log terminal blow-ups. *Math. Notes* **69**(5–6), 814–819 (2001)
31. Li, C., Xu, C.: Stability of valuations and Kollár components (2016). [arXiv:1604.05398](https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.05398)
32. Liu, Y.: The volume of singular Kähler–Einstein Fano varieties (2016). [arXiv:1605.01034](https://arxiv.org/abs/1605.01034)
33. Markushevich, D., Prokhorov, Yu.G.: Exceptional quotient singularities. *Amer. J. Math.* **121**(6), 1179–1189 (1999)
34. Odaka, Y., Sano, Y.: Alpha invariant and K-stability of \mathbb{Q} -Fano varieties. *Adv. Math.* **229**(5), 2818–2834 (2012)
35. Park, J.: Birational maps of del Pezzo fibrations. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **538**, 213–221 (2001)
36. Park, J.: A note on del Pezzo fibrations of degree 1. *Comm. Algebra* **31**(12), 5755–5768 (2003)
37. Park, J., Won, J.: Log-canonical thresholds on del Pezzo surfaces of degrees ≥ 2 . *Nagoya Math. J.* **200**, 1–26 (2010)
38. Park, J., Won, J.: Log canonical thresholds on Gorenstein canonical del Pezzo surfaces. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* **54**(1), 187–219 (2011)
39. Prokhorov, Yu.G.: Blow-ups of canonical singularities. In: Bahturin, Y. (ed.) *Algebra. De Gruyter Proceedings in Mathematics*, pp. 301–317. de Gruyter, Berlin (2000)
40. Pukhlikov, A.V.: Birational geometry of Fano direct products. *Izv. Math.* **69**(6), 1225–1255 (2005)
41. Sakovics, D.: Weakly-exceptional quotient singularities. *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* **10**(3), 885–902 (2012)
42. Sakovics, D.: Five-dimensional weakly exceptional quotient singularities. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **57**(1), 269–279 (2014)
43. Shi, Y.: On the α -invariants of cubic surfaces with Eckardt points. *Adv. Math.* **225**(3), 1285–1307 (2010)
44. Shokurov, V.V.: 3-Fold log flips. *Izv. Math.* **40**(1), 95–202 (1993)
45. Tian, G.: On Kähler–Einstein metrics on certain Kähler manifolds with $C_1(M) > 0$. *Invent. Math.* **89**(2), 225–246 (1987)
46. Tiep, P.H.: The α -invariant and Thompson’s conjecture. *Forum Math. Pi.* **4**, e5 (2016)
47. Xu, C.: Finiteness of algebraic fundamental groups. *Compositio Math.* **150**(3), 409–414 (2014)